Before the Federal Communications Commission		AHC 9 Topos
Washington,	D.C. 20554	AUG 2 1 2002
		100 2 2 ZUIIZ
In the Matter of		
Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by		
Fair Lawn Board of Education Fair Lawn, New Jersey	File No. NEC.471.11-19-99.01100003	
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service	CC Docket No. 96-45	
Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.	CC Docket No. 97-	21

## **ORDER**

Adopted: August 14, 2002 Released: August 15, 2002

By Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. Before the Telecommunications Access Policy Division is a Request for Review filed by the Fair Lawn Board of Education (Fair Lawn), Fair Lawn, New Jersey, seeking review of a decision issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (Administrator). Fair Lawn seeks review of SLD's denial of its application for discounts for Internet access under the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Letter from Joanne Wilson, Fair Lawn Board of Education, to Federal Communications Commission, filed January 11, 2002 (Request for Review).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. See also Letter from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, to Joanne Wilson, Fair Lawn Public Schools, dated December 3, 2001 (Administrator's Decision on Appeal).

SLD's decision not to process Fair Lawn's applications because the applications did not meet minimum processing standards.<sup>11</sup>

- 6. By letter dated December 3, 2001, SLD issued a decision referencing Application Number NEC.471.11-19-99.01100003.<sup>12</sup> SLD stated that Fair Lawn's first submission was received inside the filing window but was rejected because the wrong OMB-approved FCC Form 471 was used. SLD also stated that Fair Lawn second submission (received February 22, 2000 with its SLD Appeal) still contained the incorrect OMB-approved form.<sup>13</sup>
- 7. Fair Lawn then filed the instant Request for Review.<sup>14</sup> Fair Lawn again challenges SLD's decision to deny File Number NEC.471.11-19-99.01100003.<sup>15</sup> Because the June 27, 2001 decision disposed of the factual and legal issue, we dismiss Fair Lawn's Request for Review without further consideration.
- 8. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to authority delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a), that the Request for Review filed by Fair Lawn Board of Education, Fair Lawn, New Jersey, on January 11, 2002, IS DISMISSED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mark G. Seifert

Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division

Wireline Competition Bureau

<sup>11</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Administrator's Decision on Appeal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Id. Fair Lawn's second submission was actually on the correct OMB-approved form, but it was untimely filed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Request for Review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *Id*.